

DCUSA Issues Form (DIF)

This form should be used by parties to submit matters for consideration to DCUSA Standing Issues Group (SIG). The completed form should be issued to DCUSA@electralink.co.uk

Document Control	
Date Submitted:	17 th October 2025
Issue Title:	D0164 / MM00069 Flows
Attachments:	
Issue Number*:	89
Meeting Reference*:	SIG 173

**Assigned by DCUSA Secretariat*

Originator Details	
Party Name:	SP ENW
Originator Name:	Mark Bellman
Party Category:	DNO
Email Address:	Mark.bellman@enwl.co.uk
Telephone Number:	07385 009419

Nature of Issue
<p>The Originator believes that D0164 / MM00069 might be a redundant relic from 1998. The Originator can find no current obligation in DCUSA requiring its use. If no longer required, can the flow be decommissioned/removed from DTC/EMDS?</p> <p><u>Investigations</u> The Flow Ownership referred to in the DTC and EMDS is “MRA” which is clearly erroneous. The flow has existed since 1998, pre-dating DCUSA.</p> <p>Looking for an obligation in DCUSA which might be met by the use of D0164 finds that use of the flow would not meet the notice obligations in DCUSA for:</p> <p>⇒ Incident Management as outlined in DCUSA 30.13 as the flow does not contain a data item for the no. of MSIDs. And 30.13 explicitly states ‘by email’ rendering a flow unnecessary.</p>

- ⇒ Section 2A Clause 25.22 for System Outage as the flow doesn't go to Connectee
- ⇒ Section 2B Clause 41.2 & 41.6 for System Outage as the flow doesn't go to IDNO or OTSO

Could there be some other current obligation that its use is intended to meet?

DCUSA Ltd has confirmed that only 674 instances of D0164 have been sent since April 2012. These were sent from MPIDs of 12 IDNOs, 2 DNOs and 3 REC/MRA.

Of which 565 were from REC/MRA and 32 from IDNOs, all tagged as 'test' flows.

Of the remaining 77 instances, 76 were sent in 4 batches, on two dates in 2013 (totalling 25) from 1 DNO and on two dates in 2023 (totalling 51) from 1 IDNO. Although not tagged as 'test' it seems likely they were test, or at least unlikely that they related to actual supply interruptions.

That leaves 1, sent by a second DNO which was not tagged as 'test' flow.

How could redundant flows (if any) be identified in future?

Solution Overview – If Known

Solution Description	Remove the D0164 from the DTC and MM00069 from the EMDS by following the DCUSA change process for DCUSA owned flows (as recently approved by the Authority via DCP0462).
Lead Time For Implementation	Implementation after Authority Consent if required.